## Interview Summary

Application No. 10/034,882

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit

1634

Pfost

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) <u>Arun Chakrabarti</u>	(3)
(2) Astrid Spain	
Date of Interview Dec 2, 2003	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	2) □ applicant's representative] e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All ldentification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Applicant was informed about the allowability of claims 1-27. Non-elected claims 28-59 were canceled without prejudice towards further prosecution.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	lments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPE	AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST EP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has MINTHIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required